



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10500
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF FLOW-DISTANCE OF INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATERS ON CORRELATION BETWEEN CHEMICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMANDS
Type of the Article :	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	Very good original research article Scientifically is good Technically is good Methodology is very clear	Appreciated
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	most recent references should be used	Agreed. Some recent references highlighted yellow have been added
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	1-In some cases the scale line was missed as in fig 2 & fig3 2-In some cases the scale line especially COD made the fig. shifted at the top and compacted the fig. (please correct the scale) (fig.1 & fig2) 3- Equations must be very clear in the all figures.	The figures have been resized to reveal the missed lines. Visibility of figures has been enhanced. Dropping the vertical scale would not show that COD is zero when BOD is zero. I think this is necessary for the regression.